12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

- 1	
1	J. Stephen Peek, Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 1758
2	Robert J. Cassity, Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 9779
3	HOLLAND & HART LLP
	9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
	702-669-4600
5	702-669-4650 – fax
	speek@hollandhart.com
6	bcassity@hollandhart.com
7	Brett L. Foster, Esq. (pro hac vice pending)
	Richard T. Jackson, Esq. (pro hac vice pending)
8	Holland & Hart LLP
	222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200
9	Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
	801-799-5800
10	801-799-5700 – fax
	<u>blfoster@hollandhart.com</u>
11	rtjackson@hollandhart.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CYBERGUN S.A., a French Corporation, and FN HERSTAL, S.A., a Belgian Corporation, Plaintiffs,

CROSMAN CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:13-cv-01841-GMN-VCF

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR **DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

(First Request)

Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 6-2, Plaintiffs Cybergun S.A. and FN Herstal, S.A., by and through their undersigned counsel, move the Court on an unopposed basis for an extension of time for Defendant to respond to the Complaint. The current deadline for Defendant to file in response to the Complaint is November 13, 2014. Plaintiffs request that the Court grant Defendant an additional 33 days, or until December 16, 2013, to file in response to the Complaint. There have been no previous extensions granted by the Court. The reason supporting this request is that the parties are engaged in settlement discussions and Plaintiffs represent that there is a reasonable

Case 2:13-cv-01841-GMN-VCF Document 14 Filed 11/14/13 Page 2 of 2

HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

opportunity to amicably resolve this case. Plaintiffs, rather than Defendant, are filing this motion because Defendant has not yet retained local counsel and Plaintiffs have agreed to file this motion for the benefit of Defendant. Plaintiffs have no objection to the requested extension for Defendant to respond to the Complaint.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs make this unopposed request that the Court extend the deadline for Defendant to answer for thirty-three (33) days, such that Defendant's response to the Complaint will be due on or before December 16, 2013.

DATED November 13, 2013.

/s/ Robert J. Cassity

J. Stephen Peek, Esq. Robert J. Cassity, Esq. HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Brett L. Foster, Esq. (pro hac vice pending) Richard T. Jackson, Esq. (pro hac vice pending) Holland & Hart LLP 222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

L

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Magistrate

Dated: 11-14-2013

28